

Achieving Continuous Manufacturing: Technologies and Approaches for Synthesis, Work-Up and Isolation of Drug Substance

Ian R. Baxendale,¹ Richard D. Braatz,² Benjamin K Hodnett,³ Klavs F. Jensen,² Martin D Johnson,⁴ Paul Sharratt,⁵ Jon-Paul Sherlock,⁶ Alastair J. Florence*⁷

¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Durham, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK

² Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA 02139 USA

³ Department of Chemical and Environmental Sciences, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland

⁴ Chemical Product Research and Development Division, Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN 46285. USA

⁵ Institute of Chemical & Engineering Sciences, A*STAR, Singapore 627833, Singapore

⁶ AstraZeneca, Macclesfield, UK

⁷ Centre for Continuous Manufacturing and Crystallisation, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G1 0RE, UK

Executive Summary

This whitepaper highlights current challenges and opportunities associated with continuous synthesis, workup and crystallization of active pharmaceutical ingredients or drug substances. We describe the technologies and requirements at each stage and emphasize the different considerations for developing continuous processes compared with batch. In addition to the specific sequence of operations required to deliver the necessary chemical and physical transformations for continuous drug substance manufacture, consideration is also given to how adoption of continuous technologies may impact different stages in development from discovery, process development, through scale-up and into full scale manufacturing. How continuous manufacture of drug substance affects quality and the associated challenges for control and for process safety are also highlighted.

In addition to the technology and operational considerations necessary for the adoption of continuous manufacturing, the whitepaper also addresses the cultural, as well as skills and training, challenges that will need support from organizations to accommodate.

Specific action items for industry leaders are:

- Develop flow chemistry toolboxes, including highly selective chemistries that allow use of simple and effective continuous workup technologies. Availability of modular, or plug and play type equipment would assist in straightforward deployment in the laboratory. As with learning from other industries, standardization is highly desirable and will require cooperation across industry and academia to develop and implement.
- Implement and exploit PAT for real-time dynamic control of continuous processes. Develop modeling and simulation techniques to support continuous process development and control. Progress is required in multi-phase systems such as crystallization.
- Involve all parts of the organization from discovery, research and development and manufacturing in the implementation of CM.
- Engage with academia to develop the training provision to support the skills base for continuous manufacturing, particularly in flow chemistry.

- 43 • Promote and encourage publication and dissemination of examples of CM across the sector
44 to demonstrate capability, engage with regulatory comment and establish benchmarks for
45 performance and highlight challenges.
46 • Develop the economic case for CM of drug substance. This will involve various stakeholders
47 at project and business level however establishing the critical economic drivers is critical to
48 driving the transformation in manufacturing.
49

50 Keywords: upstream, drug substance, synthesis, reaction, work-up, isolation, crystallization,
51 PAT, control, quality, safety, skills, culture
52

53 54 **Introduction - the Future for Continuous Drug Substance Manufacture**

55 Successful innovation in manufacturing and adoption of continuous manufacturing (CM) has an
56 important role to play in the industry's future. The vision for CM in the pharmaceutical industry
57 is to exploit continuous processes to convert raw materials into safe, effective and high quality
58 medicinal products. This vision is driven by the potential to improve control over quality, reduce
59 costs, enhance process safety and significantly reduce the timelines currently involved across the
60 medicines' supply chain. As new continuous systems and technologies become fully established
61 so the industry's ability to continue to meet the demands for existing as well as new, safer and
62 increasingly personalized dosage forms will be enhanced.
63

64 This whitepaper is focused on the opportunities and challenges associated with the first stages of
65 this emergent pharmaceutical manufacturing paradigm, specifically continuous synthesis,
66 workup and isolation of new chemical entities, active pharmaceutical ingredients (API) or drug
67 substances. In particular the challenges and opportunities associated with each of these
68 operations are highlighted alongside other important considerations when deploying continuous
69 processes. Ensuring quality and consistency through control are key drivers for CM, and
70 considerations for delivering the required levels of quality at each stage are discussed,
71 highlighting some of the important differences from traditional batch manufacturing approaches.
72 Flow chemistry is often cited as having advantages for safety in enabling access to hazardous
73 chemistries in a safe and controlled manner [1-5]. However, a broader range of issues needs to be
74 addressed to ensure safe operation at all stages. CM also changes the development paradigm (e.g.
75 how and when process development is done) and the facilities strategy (e.g. current footprint
76 versus future) and places markedly different demands on organizations and their staff compared
77 with batch. Successful deployment of CM is therefore dependent on changes in organizational
78 culture and workforce skills as well as in the science and technology. This whitepaper draws on
79 the experience and informed views of many individuals from the industrial and academic
80 community and recognizes that delivering this advanced manufacturing vision will require
81 significant change across the industry and the wider pharmaceutical value chain.
82

83 **1. Reactions: The wider adoption of continuous flow strategies in Pharma**

84 Continuous flow synthesis has matured as a scientific area translating from a principle domain of
85 Chemical Engineering to a technological tool now routinely used by many chemical synthesis
86 laboratories and increasingly in process development and scale-up.^[1-5] Conducting synthetic
87 reactions in flow can be used to access a variety of benefits that may include: (1) reduced
88 hazard/increased safety from smaller reactor volume, relative ease of containment,

89 reduction/removal of headspace, reproducible delivery of conditions to ensure consistent quality
90 with no accumulation of reactive/toxic intermediates; (2) reduced cost from lower capital and
91 operating costs as well as improved consistency; (3) enhanced mass and heat transfer rates; (4)
92 improved yield through enhanced selectivity; (5) expansion of the feasible reaction space
93 offering a toolbox that can support many “forbidden reactions” through access to highly selective
94 chemistries that would be difficult or impossible using batch, particularly at manufacturing scale;
95 (6) ability to operate cryogenic processes at higher temperature; (7) safe, controlled access to
96 higher pressure and temperature operation to maximize reaction rates and achieve higher
97 throughput; (8) increased robustness, control and stability inherent in steady-state operation of
98 continuous processes; (9) easier, well defined scale-up routes for laboratory to production scales;
99 (10) increased throughput with a dramatically reduced equipment footprint and (11) greener
100 operation from reduced solvent consumption. Clearly the actual benefits will be process specific
101 however methods for assessing these and informing the early decision processes are required.

102
103 Widespread adoption of flow processes in pharmaceutical manufacturing facilities has not yet
104 taken place. Until recently this processing approach was almost exclusively encountered in
105 petrochemical and bulk chemical manufacturing settings. Perceived barriers in Pharma
106 application include high skills and technology requirements combined with a limited ability to
107 support multiple products because of product specific requirements of CM plant. Plant
108 economics ultimately determined that such units were mostly commercially viable for very large-
109 scale production generating large volumes of relatively simple compounds. The challenge for
110 adoption of continuous flow manufacturing by the fine chemical sector has always been the
111 diversity and complexity of the molecules of interest and the associated need for complex and
112 diverse processing conditions. Typically, pharmaceutical and agrochemical molecules require 6-
113 10 synthetic steps (sequential or convergent), involving chemo- and regio-selective
114 transformations that also necessitate multiple rounds of quenching, work-up, separation and
115 purification. This is an important reason why batch processing dominates in pharmaceutical and
116 agrochemical production as a small number of temperature- and/or pressure-controlled, agitated
117 vessels can be used for virtually all of the reactions, liquid-liquid extractions, distillation,
118 stripping, adsorption, and crystallization unit operations associated with a long and complicated
119 synthetic route. The creation of integrated, self-supplying continuous processing streams is
120 challenging. Whilst reaction kinetics can be manipulated using temperature, pressure or solvent
121 choice for example, robust integration requires the controlled and steady balancing of reaction
122 rates and process flows of sequential steps in addition to consideration of subsequent
123 downstream operations. Adding buffering capacity between groups of synthetic steps is one
124 option to help mitigate integration issues and can be achieved with regard to intermediate ^[6]

125
126 One of the main impedances to the wider adoption of flow processing has been the delivery of
127 readily tailored and amenable chemistry. Most routes conceived during small-scale laboratory
128 development have historically been batch based and have therefore subsequently progressed
129 through the various rounds of scale-up using related processing strategies. Only recently has an
130 appreciable acknowledgement been made that potentially different development routes are
131 required for continuous flow based manufacturing sequences. This has resulted in a steady
132 increase in the adoption of flow based reactors at earlier stages of the development pipeline
133 ensuring continuous processing is more readily built into the design and synthesis of new
134 chemical entities. Automated flow based techniques enable optimization and determination of

135 chemical mechanisms and kinetics determined at the milligram scale.^[7, 8] Classical chemical
136 reaction engineering concepts can then allow scaling of several orders of magnitude to
137 production systems. Automated flow reactors are of particular interest as they offer rapid ways to
138 quench reactions chemically or thermally and improve chemistry selectivity. Achieving
139 improved selectivity is of considerable importance in integrated processes as it can lead to
140 simplified work-up stages downstream.

141
142 Whilst flow reactors offer many advantages for controlling chemical processes, there are still a
143 number of areas where complex chemistries and operation at small scale present additional
144 challenges. These include low tolerance of solids in small channels, challenges in maintaining
145 constant phase ratios and interfacial areas for multiphase processes, dealing with the distribution
146 of residence times inherent with laminar flow at low flow rates, potential for gradual
147 accumulation of foulants or encrustation, low turnover numbers of solid catalysts requiring
148 frequent changes, significant control challenges, reliable pulse free pumping wherever pulsation
149 impacts on process performance plus a restricted palette of well demonstrated workup
150 possibilities.

151 152 **1.1. Flow chemistry equipment**

153 There is a need for equipment that can support a wide range of chemical transformations in
154 continuous operation. The main classes of reactor are described below however continued
155 chemical reaction engineering is required to ensure that equipment designs continue to develop
156 to deliver the optimal level of control over individual process conditions for successful operation
157 with the required level of safety, automation and control at the scales required.

158
159 A major enabler of continuous processing has been the commercialization of standalone
160 laboratory bench top flow systems capable of performing chemistries under a broad range of
161 temperatures and pressures. This availability has been matched by the provision of larger scaled
162 processing units and the provision of off-the-shelf easily assembled components (including
163 passive and active mixers, tubing unions, chemical resistant tubing), which can be assembled to
164 create bespoke flow units. Comprehensive coverage of continuous reactor platforms have been
165 published detailing their operating principles and characteristics. Detailed understanding and
166 characterization of the equipment should enable seamless scale-up with only minimal additional
167 development. The essential requirement for realizing the benefits of flow is to ensure that robust
168 control of each particular chemical and physical transformation involved is delivered by
169 appropriate equipment whether that is bespoke or multipurpose.

170
171 Often several unit operations need to be integrated to perform laboratory synthesis in flow
172 reactors. Pumping and metering of reactants, mixing, control of the reaction temperature,
173 chemical and/or thermal quench, pressure control, and collection of product. Early efforts in the
174 field used discrete components comprising stand-alone pumps, mixers and reactor units.
175 However, commercial units at the laboratory scale now integrate all operations into compact
176 units that require the user only to provide the reagents. The reactor unit is typically either a tube
177 or microstructured device (microreactor).

178
179 Tube-based systems (typically coiled) are commonly made of copper, stainless steel, hastelloy,
180 tantalum, zirconium, PEEK, or perfluorinated polymers. Their volumes range from 1 μ L to litres

181 with channel diameters from 100 μm to 50 mm depending on the system. They are simple to
182 operate and easy to create, but rely on diffusional mixing and are thus prone to dispersion effects.
183 In this context perfluorinated tubes have the advantage of broad chemical compatibility, but
184 suffer from poor heat transfer characteristics, which becomes an issue in running fast, highly
185 exothermic reactions. They also suffer from low pressure rating at elevated temperatures.
186 Consequently although clear perfluorinated tubing is used in many commercial systems this is a
187 non-optimum material for scaled operation. However, polymers do offer some unique
188 advantages. For example, the tube-in-tube reactor is convenient for gas-liquid reactions, e.g.,
189 hydrogenation. In this system a porous inner tube, typically made from Teflon AF, allows
190 transport of a gas from one tube to a liquid flowing in the other. This is also a specific example
191 of a membrane reactor which functions to allow selective partitioning of species between two or
192 more flow streams. Such reactors can be broadly subdivided into two types by classification of
193 their segmentation function being either through phase or size exclusion.

194
195 Microreactors are machined in glass, silicon-glass, ceramic, or stainless steel by microfabrication
196 techniques. Their volumes typically range from 50 μL to 100 mL and the channel diameters from
197 50 to 1000 μm . They often include mixing units, flow distributors, multiple channels, and means
198 for immobilizing catalyst particles. They typically also have the advantage of better heat transfer
199 for heating and cooling reactions. In both tubes and microreactors, the effects of mixing and
200 dispersion can be explored experimentally (e.g., by residence time distribution (RTD)
201 measurements) and predicted (from fluid dynamics simulations) to establish guidelines for
202 running reactions under favorable mass and heat transfer conditions. However, where long
203 residence times are required the more complicated fluid distribution and increased control
204 challenges CSTRs may become more economically viable

205
206 Tubular and microstructured reactors can be filled with solid inert particles to increase mixing
207 and solid heterogeneous catalysts for packed bed catalytic reactors. Several commercial systems
208 have been developed to enable scale up of both single and multiphase flow chemistry procedures
209 to production levels of multiple tons per year. However, simply multiplying the number of
210 microreactors to scale-out creates highly complex fluid flow distribution and control challenges.
211 Consequently, scale-up is typically achieved by increasing reactor size while preserving heat and
212 mass transfer advantages, although this only takes you part of the way, and then multiplying up
213 the resulting smaller number of larger reactors. In many cases, good heat transfer characteristics
214 can be maintained by sandwiching a thin reaction layer between cooling plates and increasing the
215 lateral size while keeping a nearly constant reactor channel depth. Mass transfer is kept high by
216 multiplying out static mixer units rather than changing the size of the mixing units.

217
218 A similar, tube-based approach, is to scale to larger tubes fitted with static mixing elements that
219 increase mixing across the tube and reduce axial dispersion. The use of static mixers sets
220 minimum flow velocities to achieve sufficient mixing across the tube to reduce axial dispersion
221 and maintain plug flow. Baffled oscillatory flow reactors provide good mixing at longer
222 residence times, but at the cost of greater mechanical complexity. In addition to tube and
223 structured reactors, trains of multiple continuously stirred tank reactors (CSTRs) are a commonly
224 encountered solution in continuous synthesis. They are particularly well suited for reaction
225 systems involving slurries of solid reagents or products or for systems with longer residence time
226 requirements that make tubular reactors less economically practical.

227
228 For many chemical applications the specific reactor of choice is determined by the processing
229 characteristics required to deliver the desired chemical transformation (or sequence of
230 transformations) being performed. Principal considerations involve the physical state of the
231 materials being processed (gases, liquids, solids), the reaction thermodynamics
232 (exothermic/endothermic), reaction kinetics, heat and mass transfer, mixing and the required
233 residence times which in the scenario of coupled sequences is determined by the slowest
234 individual step (without inherent buffering capacity).

235
236 One of the major advantages that continuous flow based reactors present is increased control
237 over their internal temperature stability. Heat transfer is more efficient in the smaller volume
238 flow systems compared to their batch stirred tank reactor counterparts through the larger heat
239 transfer area. Alternative techniques for energy supply being actively researched include
240 sonication; photochemistry; electrochemical and microwave (especially for scale up
241 applications).

242 243 **1.2. Translation of flow protocols from the laboratory bench to the plant**

244 Pharmaceutical development comprises several progressive stages in which the emphasis shifts
245 from the use of rapid and clean synthesis of molecules for testing to the implementation of a
246 robust, cost-effective process suitable for manufacture. Broadly, the early stages use a
247 “medicinal chemistry” approach where there are few restrictions on cost, or the use of toxic or
248 hazardous reagents, while the later stages use “process chemistry” that avoids unsafe,
249 excessively expensive reagents and separations difficult at scale. These two extreme approaches
250 may have similarities or be completely different depending upon the project. Pharmaceutical
251 companies have traditionally used a med-chem route in the early stages and then devised a single
252 manufacturing route. The availability of novel technologies such as flow chemistry together with
253 increased cost pressures has changed the situation – and companies are now exploring how to be
254 more effective – for example by using med-chem routes for early clinical development material.
255 Flow chemistry offers additional tools that provide greater opportunities to streamline the
256 chemistry that supports discovery, clinical development and manufacturing process
257 development.

258
259 During preliminary research investigation, only a very limited amount of time is normally
260 invested in optimizing a particular synthetic pathway. Projects produce libraries of related
261 structures or just singleton compounds as quickly as possible by whatever chemistry is most
262 likely to work. Another important consideration is the availability of easily accessed starting
263 materials and reagents (often commercial availability is key). Elegant, well-engineered,
264 telescoped and fully optimized chemical sequences are not sought. Here, the most appropriate
265 reactor design offers the maximum flexibility for reconfiguration and multi-purpose usage to
266 make small amounts of material; hence small-scale reactor volumes are desirable. Work is still
267 required in this area to make flow chemistry more efficient for hit-identification and to identify
268 further integration opportunities benefiting from the deployment of flow.

269
270 The broadening or redevelopment of synthetic routes is often a consideration faced early in the
271 research pipeline where it becomes necessary to open up new areas of design space by expanding
272 or preparing new structural motifs that can potentially be of interest to medicinal chemists but

273 have little precedent. Often expansion of chemical design space is also performed to validate and
274 define greater patent coverage.

275
276 As larger quantities of API are required to facilitate more in depth biological investigations,
277 toxicology analysis and in certain cases formulation lead studies (the exact priority and level of
278 each of these operations is highly organizationally dependent based on company strategy,
279 precedent and to a great extent philosophy). Scales can therefore be from several hundreds of
280 grams with kilograms potentially required to support clinical testing and trials. Consequently at
281 this juncture greater consideration should be given to optimizing route selection and accessibility
282 of starting materials for increased production. It is still not clear whether the increased scaling
283 agility from the deployment of continuous flow in discovery can increase the probability that the
284 original medicinal chemistry route is a viable route (in part or whole).

285
286 The clinical phase of development is where a route and (continuous) process for commercial
287 manufacture will be developed, setting up the manufacturing phase. As such, additional inputs
288 from control and engineering are required in order to support chemistry, engineering and
289 analytical functions. It is also important at this stage to determine effective (continuous) work-
290 ups and crystallization steps. The aim will always be to find the most efficient route to deliver
291 the molecule as the process scale evolves and the chemistry and equipment will likely develop as
292 a consequence as it moves from medicinal chemistry to production. The best fit reactor here is
293 one that facilitates scaling of the chemistry. With the easier scaling of continuous reactors this
294 could also be achieved through scaling up of the reactor volumes without requiring re-
295 optimization of the synthetic route as often-encountered in batch. Often the same reactor unit that
296 was used for hit-identification can be run under extended operation to perform initial scaled
297 synthesis for continuous equipment.

298
299 As development progresses, reaction kinetics, and processing criteria such as potential safety
300 considerations are also defined i.e. taking comprehensive exotherm measurements from reaction
301 compositions of reagents, intermediates and reaction mixtures. Here a meso-scale reactor
302 supplemented with a high level of automation, monitoring and software offers many advantages.
303 Automated DoE runs can be performed screening a greater array of reaction conditions to
304 discover the correct stoichiometries or solvent combinations not only for optimal conversion but
305 also for work-up and purification. With increased numbers of degrees of freedom to consider
306 there is a challenge for DoE-centred approaches particularly in relation to including a larger
307 number of discrete variables such as solvent and catalyst where limited material may be available
308 and attrition rates are high. The goal of rapid, automated optimization of reaction conditions
309 however justifies further development of these approaches. Simultaneously the identification and
310 in concert preparation of standards for impurity profiles (for use in analysis and quality control)
311 will be conducted, again, a smaller scale meso/micro synthesis platform is well suited to this
312 work (optimizing for the synthesis of a by-product may require accessing different reaction space
313 in terms of extremes of pressure or temperature). As indicated, data capture by in-line monitoring
314 devices (e.g. IR, Raman, UV, MS, HPLC and flow NMR units) can be very effective and will
315 greatly facilitate many aspects of the continuous flow synthesis allowing knowledge driven
316 parameter design. The reaction tolerance in terms of each transformations viable temperature
317 fluctuation, mixing efficiency variations and resulting conversion/by-product formations can be
318 determined. This is critical for coupling reaction steps to make integrated chemical sequences.

319 However, data capture is only one component of the process with data analysis and subsequent
320 interpretation being a current limiting aspect for many current flow processing scenarios. A
321 considerable body of work includes the development of a reaction database capturing key data to
322 support the scale-up and filing requirements. Important in this context is consideration of Quality
323 by Design (QbD) for any future CM processes.

324
325 In summary, discovery processes may well be used for a while, but changes or development of
326 new routes are considered whilst working up clinical supplies. Armed with effective reaction
327 engineering and process development approaches there is the potential to consider parallel or
328 alternative flow synthesis strategies and model or trial manufacturing routes based on assembly
329 of telescoped processing sequences. The current position is largely that continuous process
330 development takes companies longer than batch. The challenge, therefore, for continuous
331 processing is to enable an accelerated route to support the economic, scalable supply of material
332 for commercial production. Importantly, economies of scale and financial cost considerations
333 start to play a large impact on the selected routes and processing conditions chosen. Thus, if
334 chemistry sourcing and route decisions are to be made by the end of stage 1 trials, greater
335 investment into the chemistry, reaction engineering and process design aspects will be required
336 to ensure robust multi-step integrated operations which can be selected quickly from the growing
337 flow chemistry toolbox.

338 339 **1.3. Reaction classes**

340 Several companies have published reports stating the level or percentage of reactions that could
341 derive a benefit from being performed using flow based continuous manufacture within their
342 organization. In general this equates to approximately half of their reaction inventory that can
343 access better selectivity, fewer workup steps and more straightforward plant-wide controls. This
344 classification is normally determined by consideration of two main drivers the safety profile of
345 the reaction and analysis of the reactions kinetics (i.e. fast exothermic or mixing dependent
346 transformations being well suited). Problematically, many reactions are subsequently excluded
347 because of perceived issues with directly transferring the process to flow as a result of one or
348 more components in the reaction being a solid. However, sometimes it is readily apparent that
349 only minor modifications in the process (i.e. changes in solvents, reagents, bases etc.) would
350 quickly obviate this issue. Indeed, with increasing knowledge and experience, our ability to
351 translate batch-derived chemistries to flow will certainly increase. At present, a conservative
352 assessment of the reactions that would derive specific benefits from being run in flow would be
353 ~40%, although many more will be capable of being delivered in flow. Although this figure may
354 seem, on first inspection, to be low and only offer a modest prospect of success it should be
355 acknowledged that this only represents the translation of currently optimized batch procedures
356 being retro-engineered into flow. Reactions already optimized for batch will rarely be attractive
357 in flow. A much higher level of realization would be expected if the chemistries being assessed
358 had originally been developed specifically for flow using the advantages of flow to reach new
359 reaction conditions, for example, working a higher pressures and temperatures to achieve faster
360 reaction rates.

361 362 **2. Workup and isolation**

363 With the drive to develop reactions in continuous flow as part of an integrated end-to-end
364 manufacturing strategy, it is also important to consider the optimal way to purify and isolate the

365 products. Workup steps are often the dominant equipment and time costs of drug substance
366 manufacturing processes and for flow processing to bring the expected benefits to the industry,
367 the whole process from synthesizing raw materials to isolating pure, final product needs to be
368 fully continuous. Therefore there remains a need for cost-effective continuous work-up and
369 purification procedures including extraction, distillation, adsorption and selective separation (e.g.
370 membrane) technologies. Ideally, traditional sequences of work up steps should be replaced by
371 new, multifunctional, more efficient and less expensive steps, increased telescoping of reaction
372 stages, appropriate solvent selection and recycle. Example areas requiring further development
373 include: removal of trace amounts of water which will subsequently impact on downstream
374 processing, for example in a Grignard Reaction or a crystallization; membrane(s) in series for
375 use in solvent swap, de-water or concentrating, cost effective approaches to large scale
376 chromatography, catalyst separation, by-product removal and solvent swap to allow
377 crystallization.

378
379 The starting point for workup and isolation is the definition of the purity requirements of the
380 product (either for subsequent processing or for use as product). This requires consideration of
381 the overall synthetic route and the nature and potential impact of impurities. The workup
382 challenge is directly modifiable via reaction engineering and/or chemistry selection as cleaner
383 reactions will usually give easier workups. Proper specification of the separations challenge
384 helps to focus the search for cost-effective solutions. For drug substance production the
385 exploitable difference in product and impurity properties can be small and the tolerance of
386 molecules for a wide range of processing conditions (temperature, pH, etc.) can be limited
387 requiring particular care.

388
389 Another issue is the balance in process economics. With the low quantities typical of Pharma
390 processes it may well be uneconomic to do more than separate the product. Valuable unreacted
391 raw material, solvent and catalyst may (or more likely may not) be worth recovering and by-
392 products are unlikely to be worth separating at all. This contrasts with bulks where large-scale
393 means that even relatively low proportions of materials in products may be economically worth
394 recovering. Recycles are perhaps most likely of solvent, and for flow systems of unreacted raw
395 materials, but in general there is less economic incentive for recycling unless the
396 “continuization” of the process has diluted it massively. Materials integration and recycling are
397 likely to be secondary considerations.

398
399 The net result is that we may use a simpler separation, which only requires removal of product at
400 the right purity, rather than fractionate the whole reaction mass into recycle and various product
401 streams. On the other hand, we may have a much more challenging separation duty in terms of
402 separating materials of very similar properties including optical isomers and other materials
403 structurally very similar to the product. Minimally, we might set out to pull the desired product
404 into a solution or slurry that only contains materials tolerable by the next processing step, which
405 may be isolation or we might telescope directly into the next reaction. Some separations that
406 might normally be used in batch can be avoided by immobilization/use of fixed beds (catalysts,
407 sorbents, etc.), though this brings with it the need to monitor the condition of the solid bed and to
408 be able to switch to a replacement or stop the process before performance is compromised.
409 Work-up techniques that might credibly be used in flow systems include those described below.
410 In each case, some of the potential uses and restrictions are noted.

411
412 Various types of distillation are useful for solvent removal and swaps, but may be limited in
413 terms of product purification because of temperature limitations and a lack of volatility
414 difference. Continuous distillation is not a new technology. For separations other than simple
415 removal/purification/recycling of solvent it is likely that more exotic and difficult to engineer
416 approaches would be considered – for example running under vacuum to reduce temperature
417 may be attractive but makes the overall process engineering more complex. Process design can
418 be done fairly simply given volatility data.

419
420 Liquid/liquid contacting for simple or reactive extraction is perhaps the most desirable workup
421 technique for continuous processing. There are a lot of continuous process technologies for
422 liquid-liquid extraction. It lends itself nicely to continuous flow, and it is a separations unit
423 operation that can usually benefit from continuous flow because it can be done counter-current
424 multi-stage, which increases separation power. Common technologies include packed column,
425 agitated columns, single stage centrifugal separators in series, multi-stage centrifugal separators,
426 membrane, static mixers and coalescing screens, and mixer-settlers.

427
428 Liquid/liquid separation by settling is readily achieved if the density difference between the
429 liquids is sufficiently large, and simple phase separators with overflow and underflow lutes can
430 work well. With lower density differences, centrifugal separators (often combined with a liquid-
431 liquid extractor in a single unit) may be used. Emulsifying systems can be problematic although
432 membrane separators employing differences in surface tension can be used to break emulsions
433 and become efficient extraction tools at small and intermediate scales.

434
435 Solid/liquid separation including solids washing in filtration is a more challenging operation to
436 carry out in continuous flow, and though new methods are emerging, it is an operation where
437 there is significant risk of encountering a processing difficulty and novel technologies are
438 required. Alternative approaches that avoid the need to isolate API and support integration of
439 primary and secondary manufacturing need also to be considered.

440
441 Adsorptive techniques (run in flip/flop mode or for finite duration run) can have high specificity
442 (e.g. ion-exchange), can capture cations or anions very selectively. Operationally such systems
443 can be complex and breakthrough detection is a critical requirement. Adsorption by flow
444 through packed columns is a more efficient method to remove solutes compared to batch. Solid
445 adsorbent includes polymeric resins for removal of dissolved catalyst metals and carbon based
446 adsorbents for color and trace impurity removal. However, efficient management of automated
447 regeneration, or manual changeover, of immobilized solids and of breakthrough detection are
448 important considerations. Chromatography can be operated as a continuous separation using
449 simulated moving bed (SMB), though its high dilution requirement may make it inconsistent
450 with other flow processing steps. However continuous chromatography offers the potential to
451 reduce the amount of solvent required for purification by allowing almost all solvent to recycle.

452
453 Membranes continue to be in view for continuous processing, and are readily tested for their
454 effectiveness experimentally. While in principle they bring elegance and simplicity to processing
455 for compatible systems, their current limitations on solvent, pH and temperature range
456 restrictions, fouling and potential need for frequent membrane replacement restricts their

457 application. Two primary purposes where membranes have application include the separation of
458 small amounts of water from process streams and separation of compounds based on molecular
459 weight differences. Extending the range of solvent compatibility and molecular weight
460 selectivity will add to the range of utility of commercial membrane offerings.

461
462 Continuous crystallization has been applied successfully as a separation technique at small scale
463 and is discussed further below as a final isolation method. It benefits from exceptionally high
464 selectivity for an individual molecule hence its attraction in Pharma, though this comes at the
465 expense of lost product in the impurity stream. Crystallization is essentially the major organic
466 impurity removal technique used in Pharma, which translates the lack of selectivity of the
467 chemistry into a pure material. Other workup techniques are typically applied to prepare the
468 solution for crystallization emphasizing the value in developing enhanced selectivity in the
469 synthetic steps. Continuous crystallization is moderately complex to design rationally, requiring
470 significant experimentation, and is linked to the use of the difficult operation of continuous
471 filtration. There are many reasons why one might choose to run crystallization continuous rather
472 than batch. Continuous crystallization may result in better impurity rejection, prevent oiling,
473 enable wider volume ratios solvent to antisolvent, better control of crystal size distribution
474 because of controlled steady state and narrow residence time distribution, higher yield using
475 controlled recycle, more contained handling of cytotoxics by using smaller vessels that fit in
476 hoods or ventilated enclosures, integration to otherwise fully continuous wet end process in
477 addition to control of particle attributes. Each of these can be a potential advantage that results
478 in a desired outcome by continuous that is not possible or practical in batch. Whilst a variety of
479 continuous crystallization platforms are available at the requisite development scale there is also
480 a pressing need for continuous filtration, washing and drying steps at compatible scales.

481
482 The equivalent approach to the design of optimal temperature or antisolvent addition trajectories
483 as a function of time for batch systems, in the case of plug flow crystallization processes, is to
484 design a spatial temperature profile and/or spatially distributed antisolvent trajectory that can
485 enhance product quality. There are multiple physical transformations and hence rate parameters
486 that dictate the actual performance of a crystallization. Although rather sophisticated
487 mathematical models have been published for some specific continuous pharmaceutical
488 crystallizers, the vast majority of models for primary and secondary nucleation processes, size-
489 dependent growth, phase transformations, attrition, agglomeration, and morphology rely on
490 semi-empirical kinetic models. As with chemical transformations, it is important to obtain
491 reliable experimental kinetic data on these physical processes to inform process design. This can
492 combine well designed experiments which could be batch (to collect kinetics for example) or in
493 appropriate continuous flow systems where fluid mechanics affect kinetics e.g. agglomeration.
494 Strategies for control of polymorphism and physical form (solvate, salt, co-crystal) in continuous
495 processes also need to be developed to effect control over particle and product performance.

496
497 Experimentally, a number of crystallizer types have been applied, including continuous stirred-
498 tank crystallizers or mixed suspension, mixed product removal (MSMPR) crystallizers,
499 impinging jet reactors usually operated in association with MSMPR crystallizers, a variety of
500 tubular crystallizers with and without baffles or mixers and segmented flow. Continuous
501 oscillatory baffled reactors (COBRs) offer near plug flow where mixing is decoupled from net
502 flow through the use of oscillatory flow over baffles. For the most part, each of these types of

503 crystallizer is capable of operating with or without seeding and in cooling, anti-solvent and
504 reactive modes. Additional features such as ultrasound, static mixing or flow oscillations and
505 agitated cell and tubular reactor configurations can, and have, been applied to effect control.
506 Each type of continuous crystallizer does allow for the judicious use of buffers prior to (in the
507 form of solutions) and after filtration and drying stages (in the form of stable powders). As for
508 continuous reaction fouling or encrustation of vessels, feed lines, or PAT probes under elevated
509 supersaturation conditions and/or solid loadings in continuous crystallizers must be controlled
510 carefully. Fouling will occur over extended periods and strategies for monitoring build-up,
511 mitigation and cleaning need to be identified. It must also be recognized that the technical
512 sophistication may be required to overcome certain limitations of current continuous
513 crystallization technologies that may impact on capital and operating costs. Understanding the
514 trade-off between this overhead and the wider benefits, for example on cost of quality, is
515 required.

516

517 **3. Quality Assurance and Control.**

518 Although systematic approaches for assuring quality are commonly applied in the chemical,
519 petrochemical, and oil refining (CPOR) industries, pharmaceutical products require a much
520 higher degree of quality control. Whereas it is perfectly acceptable in processes only involving
521 fluids to mix fluids of off-spec and above-spec quality to achieve a mixture that satisfies product
522 quality specifications, most pharmaceutical products are in solid form and mixing off-spec (e.g.
523 tablets) with on-spec solid products does not produce a solid mixture that is acceptable for
524 delivery to consumers. Given the need to ensure that all of the material is on-spec, there is a need
525 for better tools for the quantification of the effects of uncertainties on product quality than what
526 is currently applied in the CPOR industries. Quality assurance and control systems including
527 change management and deviation/event management, typically applied to traditional batch
528 manufacturing, are also appropriate for the control and assurance of continuous processes.

529

530 Quality assurance and control requirements in Pharma are fundamentally more stringent, with
531 quality defined by the critical quality attributes (CQAs) and linked directly to patient need and
532 safety. Although there are key differences, much of the same process simulation and control
533 techniques used in the CPOR industries can be applied to the integrated process steps spanning
534 reaction, work-up, and crystallization in continuous pharmaceutical manufacturing ^[6]. These
535 techniques can include methods for the design of integrated *plant wide* control design methods,
536 which determine how the control systems at the unit operations level need to interact to ensure
537 that the product leaving the last step satisfies all of the specifications on the critical quality
538 attributes. These methods combine mathematical models at the process unit operation level to
539 form a simulation of the overall manufacturing process, typically before the manufacturing
540 facility is constructed, so a key barrier to the wider adoption of these methods is the lack of
541 personnel within pharmaceutical companies who are comfortable with mathematical modeling,
542 especially of integrated process steps. There are also gaps in our ability to accurately model the
543 interaction between attributes and processes, particularly for particles (e.g. solubility, mechanical
544 properties, surface properties, microstructure) and dosage forms (e.g. microstructure; release,
545 disintegration, diffusion and erosion processes).

546

547 It is also necessary to have expertise in the design of plantwide control systems, which is rarely
548 covered in undergraduate or graduate chemical engineering degree programs. The benefits of

549 employing plant wide simulation and control techniques are the reduction of operating costs,
550 scale-up risks, and the probability that the product will be off-spec. Early plant wide simulation
551 and control, using mathematical models for each process unit operation that have been validated
552 at laboratory scale, also enables the systematic design of mass recycles and the comparison of the
553 total capital and operating costs of building plants around competing chemical pathways or
554 varying amounts of work-up.

555
556 Automation has the potential to improve the consistency of product quality by removing
557 operator-induced variability, and much of the same process and product monitoring techniques
558 used in the CPOR industries can be applied to continuous pharmaceutical manufacturing. These
559 process and product monitoring techniques include single- and multi-variable control charts,
560 partial least squares, and principal component analysis. Sensitivity analysis can be applied to the
561 simulation model to determine bounds on the process variations in a single unit operation that
562 ensure that the product quality leaving the last unit operation is within specifications. Many
563 software packages, such as those offered by OSIsoft or AspenTech, are available for archiving
564 the large quantities of heterogeneous data collected in continuous processes that is used in
565 process monitoring. Some of the most useful real-time online PAT data are spectroscopic. The
566 deployment of such sensors have been demonstrated in continuous pharmaceutical
567 manufacturing ^[6], but need to be more widely adopted in industry for the quality and feedback
568 control and monitoring systems to be most effective. More broadly, it is desirable to have
569 sufficient online PAT that the materials can be characterized to such a degree that offline
570 analysis would be unnecessary. A key challenge in this regard is the ability to measure low
571 levels (e.g. 0.15 wt% in final API) of often structurally similar impurities. Also for PAT to see
572 wider implementation in process monitoring, analysis and real time control automation of the
573 analysis of large volumes of data is required.

574
575 In this context it is important to differentiate between the often-quoted goal for continuous
576 pharmaceutical manufacturing to always operate in steady state and operation in a controlled
577 state. Real industrial processes are never in steady state during startup or shutdown, and not even
578 during regular operations due to disturbances such as fluctuations in feedstock compositions and
579 temperatures or slow variations due to fouling or catalyst deactivation. The output of well-
580 designed startup or shutdown operations will often lead to acceptable quality product and as such
581 may not need to be discarded. A more useful goal from the point of view of the customer is that
582 the process is always “in-control” or operated in a “controlled state” and that variability in input
583 materials and process conditions is managed to ensure consistent output is always delivered.
584 Preferably the process and the control system should be designed so that the first and all
585 subsequent product manufactured in the continuous process is on-spec. This strategy has been
586 demonstrated for a drying process for the continuous manufacture of polymer-drug composite
587 films, by employed a combination of first-principles modeling, dynamic process simulation, in-
588 process monitoring of critical quality attributes, and feedback control design.^[9] The consistency
589 of product quality can be improved by using a combination of feedback and feed-forward
590 control, and by monitoring slow variations such as catalyst deactivation over time at the plant-
591 wide level so that adjustments can be sent to the control systems for the individual process unit
592 operations level so that the final product leaving the last unit operation remains on-spec. Plant-
593 wide simulation allows the occasional application of cleaning or catalyst replacement protocols

594 to be designed in such a way that product quality is not compromised, without having to shut
595 down the entire plant.

596
597 Developing accurate models is often simpler for continuous processes than batch processes in
598 pharmaceutical manufacturing, because simplifying assumptions are typically more accurate for
599 continuous processes due to having reduced scale up in physical dimensions. For example, ideal
600 mixing is a much better assumption in a slug-flow or segmented-flow crystallizer in which each
601 slug/segment of fluid is less than 1 mL in volume than in a batch crystallizer designed for similar
602 productivity, which is typically >1000 L. The more accurate the mathematical model for the
603 process, the better the control, the higher the product quality, and the lower the risks associated
604 with scale up.

605
606 Plant-wide simulation also enables the optimally sizing of the individual unit operations and
607 recycle loops to maximize overall product yield and product quality of the manufacturing
608 facility, and to track material as it moves through the plant. Any mathematical model allows the
609 determination of the residence time distribution, and the largest time in which the value of the
610 residence time distribution function is nonzero indicates the largest time in which material
611 entering the process can stay in the process before exiting. Such simulation allows the tracking of
612 any deviation through the process, to assess which products may be affected. The overall
613 residence time distribution can be estimated by alternative methods such as carrying out scaling
614 analyses or tracer experiments, but plant-wide simulation allows an analysis of the effects of
615 changes in process operations on the residence time distribution.

616
617 **4. Safety**
618 Continuous processing offers safety advantages compared to batch, including smaller reactor
619 volumes and thus smaller potential events, higher heat transfer surface area per unit volume
620 (A/V) for highly exothermic reactions or reactions running at temperatures close to thermal onset
621 of decomposition, lower inventories and on demand production of hazardous reagents, ability to
622 eliminate headspace and run 100% liquid filled, and higher containment for highly toxic
623 compounds. Accumulation of large amounts of reactive intermediates or by-products is
624 minimized when the reaction and quench are run in continuous mode.

625
626 Safety has been one of the main drivers for Eli Lilly implementing continuous reactions in
627 manufacturing in 2013 and 2014. They have demonstrated the safety advantages of continuous
628 reactions for: Grignard formations; high pressure H₂ and CO; thermal transformations and
629 deprotections at elevated temperatures and pressures; hazardous reagents such as azides and
630 containment for 10 kg/day end-to-end continuous production of cytotoxic API carried out in
631 fume hoods. A number of similar processes have recently been scaled up to manufacturing using
632 both contract manufacturing and in-house GMP facilities, with the main overall driver being
633 safety. For example a continuous Grignard reaction with a difficult initiation, a 153 °C adiabatic
634 temperature rise and potential for over-pressurization and thermal runaway, was safely scaled up
635 to 75 kg/day in a CSTR with 40L operating volume. The safety benefits compared to batch
636 included: a 50× smaller reactor volume and 5× higher heat transfer area per unit volume for the
637 same throughput, 200× reduction in the initiation event, 25× reduction in Mg to quench overall
638 leading to 25× less H₂ generated during the quench. Controlled operation at “end of reaction”
639 conditions ensured a low chemical potential energy at all times. Plug flow reactors (PFR) have

640 also been used for scale-up to 100 kg/day of an asymmetric hydrogenation at 50 bar H₂ (100L
641 PFR) and of a reductive amination with 50 bar H₂ (380L PFR). For both of these, safety
642 advantages compared to batch were numerous and included: operation with >98% liquid filled
643 reactor lowering quantities of H₂ gas in the system; >100× lower instantaneous H₂ flow rate; use
644 of a portable PFR located outside removing H₂ from the building and a significantly smaller
645 reactor volume versus batch (4×-10×). As a direct consequence the continuous high pressure H₂
646 reaction was classified as a “low risk” process in manufacturing. Two different thermal
647 deprotections at temperatures 70-80 C above the normal solvent boiling and pressures up to 30
648 bar were scaled up to 15 kg/day GMP in an 8L PFR and 30 kg/day GMP in a 12L PFR. The
649 reactors were designed for safely reaching the extreme conditions. The PFRs were 25-35×
650 smaller volume and 50-60× higher A/V than a batch reactor for the same kg/day throughput. A
651 hydrazine addition reaction was scaled up to 5 kg/day in a 1.5L PFR located inside a fume hood.
652 The safety advantage was containment for the hazardous reagent, 60× smaller reactor volume
653 and 90× higher A/V compared to batch for the same throughput.

654
655 All existing safety protocols for batch systems still apply to continuous systems. The distinctive
656 features of complex pharmaceutical processes include condensed phase processing and higher
657 potential for reaction runaways. Reactivity, kinetics, thermodynamics, materials of construction,
658 materials compatibility, heat generation and removal rates, mass transfer rates, off-gassing,
659 industrial hygiene, engineering controls, and chemical equation balancing, must still be
660 addressed. Reaction safety testing such as ARC, DSC, and RC1 calorimetry should be
661 measured.

662
663 In addition, it is important to recognize the new safety considerations that accompany continuous
664 processing. We must prevent overfilling, over-pressurization, flow out vents, spills, line breaks,
665 accumulation, backflow to other parts of the process train, and backflow into utilities. Check
666 valves are only a backup line of defense against backflow into utilities or unintended process
667 lines or vessels and cannot be relied on as a primary line of defense. Avoidance of such issues is
668 achieved by preventing pressure-driving force for flow in the reverse direction and by pre-filling
669 feed lines with liquid. Pressure relief is a more significant issue because of positive displacement
670 pumping. Pressure reliefs and/or auto shutoffs are required at the discharge of all positive
671 displacement pumps and for all vessels that materials flow into. Pressure reliefs should be
672 installed just downstream of continuous back-pressure regulators or pressure letdown stations
673 which protect the downstream process lines and equipment. We must be aware of check valve
674 failures, thermal expansion, plugging and fouling, stability and solubility of starting reagent
675 solutions over time, sampling safety, startup and shutdown safety incidents, trace amounts of
676 small particles suspended in solutions as well as materials of construction and corrosion rates.
677 The system should be designed so that it is not possible to cause accidental over-pressurization
678 due to thermal expansion during process line or vessel charging.

679
680 Monitoring process conditions including pressure drops and heat transfer rates can provide
681 indications of accumulation or fouling in the continuous section. Stability of starting reagent
682 solutions over time is tested in batch mode prior to continuous reaction experiments. Solids
683 precipitating in feed tanks can cause plugging, ruin seals and require pump disassembly. It is
684 essential to calculate mass and energy balances, verify that *mass flow in = mass flow out*, size
685 heat exchangers appropriately, and use heat integration where appropriate as a secondary line of

686 defense against utility failure. Sufficient heat removal from reactors must be verified by
687 understanding reactor surface area, heat transfer coefficient, and heat of reaction. Running
688 continuous operations also necessitates installation of secondary containment with large enough
689 capacity to hold large amounts of materials, which could flow out of continuous lines over time.
690 Automated interlocks are needed for high pressures, temperatures, flow rates, and liquid levels.
691 Static electricity is also a concern for continuous processes because static charge can build up
692 when fluids flow, especially non-conducting fluids like heptane and toluene through non-
693 conducting tubing at a velocity exceeding 1 ft/sec. Grounding and bonding is required to prevent
694 internal sparks. There is also a general requirement for rapid identification of the potential for
695 domino-effect failures as well as for generic strategies that can minimize disruption associated
696 with start-up/shutdown of multi-step processes.

697
698 It should be assumed that plugging and fouling will eventually occur in a continuous reactor or
699 unit operation. A plan should be in place to safely deal with it before it happens, including
700 assessment and control of potential for blockages, favoring anticipation via condition monitoring
701 or prophylactic maintenance. Safe venting valves and vent lines should be installed on both
702 sides of reactors or other long process tubes so that pressure can be relieved from either the inlet
703 or outlet side of the reactor, in case the plugging occurs in the middle. Double-block and bleed
704 valves around all continuous-flow equipment allow isolation and safe depressurization. During
705 operation, *pressure vs. time* trends at the discharge of positive displacement pumps as well as
706 *power consumption vs. time* can provide an early indication of slowly building blockages. In-
707 line filters should be used at the outlet of reagent solution feed preparation tanks to prevent
708 plugging/fouling of pumps, tubes, valves, fittings downstream. The filters should be sized for
709 total volume flow anticipated for the entire campaign, meaning they will be larger surface area
710 than if they were designed only for instantaneous flow rate. In summary, continuous operations
711 confer a wide range of fundamental safety advantages over batch operations for a range of
712 different challenging chemistries and a number of these have been highlighted. However to
713 ensure safety, alternative safety considerations

714

715 **5. People, Skills & Culture**

716 Establishing continuous processing in the Pharmaceutical industry is not simply to solve
717 technical, engineering or scientific problems, far from it. Whilst we can realistically see a way to
718 characterize processes and equipment, to design syntheses and reactors or to build process trains
719 that couple multiple unit operations, there will remain significant other challenges preventing the
720 widespread adoption and establishment of CM of API by the Pharma Industry. The skills and
721 capabilities required to design, develop, validate and operate a continuous process are different
722 to those recruited and developed currently. Furthermore, the established culture in many cases
723 becomes an additional barrier that must be overcome to realize the change that is required.
724 Taking these as two separate areas; how can people, the skills they have and the culture they
725 create, turn continuous processing from an interesting science and technology project into an
726 established method of generating high quality drug substances.

727
728 The organic synthetic chemist is at the center of developing pharmaceutical drug substance
729 synthetic steps and route of manufacture. Their skills, typically honed through PhD and
730 postdoctoral research in academic laboratories all over the world are directed towards knowing
731 how to best synthesize and characterize new materials first in the laboratory. The challenge of

732 scaling to larger scales of laboratory type equipment can be met by early engagement with
733 process engineers. These skills have ensured the design of optimal synthetic routes and the
734 delivery of robust batch manufacturing processes. There is however a problem if we expect
735 those same skills to deliver continuous processes. Development of robust continuous processes
736 requires more information and is more engineering intensive, particularly around controls, even
737 in a multipurpose plant. On other hand, the trade-off is a better quality and more robust
738 manufacturing process.

739
740 Chemical engineers bring an understanding of time and length scales that supports a more
741 fundamental understanding of process scale and equipment sensitivity. The chemical engineering
742 should be driven by the manufacturing needs of the selected chemistry. The chemist defines
743 physical conditions that will be optimal for reaction yield and purity, and the engineer then
744 applies devices, technologies, methods, automation and control to enable the optimal processing
745 conditions. This cannot, however, be a hand off process. There is a need to develop engineers
746 with lab skills and chemistry awareness to establish effective relationships with other groups.
747 Chemists also require appropriate skills and training to support CM, particularly in terms of
748 providing the necessary understanding and language to work effectively within multifunctional
749 teams supporting the delivery of the chemistry using continuous processes.

750
751 The Pharmaceutical industry has a significant number of analysts to support the need for
752 analytical method development and specification setting. The importance of measurement and
753 characterization to provide process understanding necessary for the design and ultimately control
754 of a continuous process requires evolution of these skills. There is both a need for alternative
755 measurement techniques to be developed and the skills to apply chemometric tools to support
756 their robust application. The analytical chemist must bring the measurement technique to the
757 process tube, pipe, or flow vessel. The real innovation will come only when the chemistry,
758 engineering and measurement science converges in the development of CM.

759
760 The established approach to developing manufacturing processes for commercial supply of drug
761 substance is to invest time and effort improving the existing process and addressing problems
762 identified during manufacturing campaigns for clinical supply. Introducing a new process is
763 difficult because the starting point is that it is higher risk because of the lack of experience. It is
764 rare that the technical benefit is so well developed and compelling that it overturns a body of
765 evidence established through repeated experimentation at lab or pilot scale. The perceived or
766 real regulatory uncertainty is therefore usually sufficient for inherent cultural conservatism to
767 dominate and new approaches to stall. It is therefore important that tools are developed to spot
768 winners early, to de-risk the decision to develop a continuous process and to reduce regulatory
769 barriers.

770
771 It is important to recognize the scale of the change required. Without the necessary investment it
772 will be challenging to progress this from an interesting science project, to an established element
773 of the drug substance development toolbox. A greater number of chemists and engineers
774 entering the industry with sufficient awareness and confidence in the technology accompanied by
775 champions and senior leaders setting direction may be able to create a cultural change that
776 ensures continuous approaches are fully considered. The change project needs to be structured

777 and well-funded (time, people, equipment) in order to progress the technology, and keep it
778 moving when projects and the accompanying development is stopped.

779
780 There is wide acknowledgement of the critical need to make the economic case for CM to justify
781 the changes from current practice and procedures. This remains an important area for the
782 community as a whole to address and will require a clear definition of the case that needs to be
783 developed as well as accurate data to base assessments on. The economic considerations
784 include: (i) the cost to set up and operate a single manufacturing unit for a single plant (the
785 project level which may well be against the basis of an existing site and utility/infrastructure);
786 (ii) the site-wide benefits of having CM fully enabled at a manufacturing site (which would play
787 out in reduced utilities investment and costs, possibly a smaller building etc.); (iii) the supply
788 chain level where responsiveness to demand change and other disturbances is relevant and plays
789 out either as overinvestment to assure the desired minimum performance of supply or reduced
790 time and cost to adapt to external influences to prevent drug shortages for example; (iv) the drug
791 project investment level and/or economic viability. This may be straightforward where API or
792 API attributes simply cannot be manufactured using batch but more challenging where both
793 options are feasible. While it is outside the scope of this whitepaper to provide such analyses, the
794 need for robust economic justification of CM supported by reliable data will ensure that technical
795 advances in this area can be fully exploited where appropriate to benefit the industry and vitally,
796 patients.

797 798 **6. Conclusions**

799 It is clear that the implementation of continuous processes for drug substance manufacture offers
800 potentially significant advantages for the supply of medicines. Alongside the increasing number
801 of examples where continuous processes have demonstrated clear benefits (e.g. hazardous
802 chemistries) there are also areas where further developments will increase the opportunities for
803 Pharma/fine chemicals to deliver safety, quality, and cost benefits for the right products with the
804 right processes and with the right controls. In order to realize the potential benefits of CM, the
805 industry must:

- 806 • Develop flow chemistry toolboxes, including highly selective chemistries that allow use of
807 simple and effective continuous workup technologies. Availability of modular, or plug and
808 play type equipment would assist in straightforward deployment in the laboratory. As with
809 learning from other industries, standardization is highly desirable and will require
810 cooperation across industry and academia to develop and implement.
- 811 • Develop strategies for dealing with parts of the system that change with time (catalysts,
812 adsorbents, fouling of surfaces) while maintaining production and at a sensible cost.
- 813 • Implement and exploit PAT for real-time dynamic control of continuous processes. This will
814 require the development and exploitation of robust, selective analytical technologies for
815 chemical and physical attributes (CQAs) of interest as well as the skills base to deploy the
816 technologies within the context of process control.
- 817 • Develop modeling and simulation techniques to support continuous process development and
818 process control. This includes models of transformation kinetics, physical properties,
819 separation processes, crystallization and particle attributes, and performance in downstream
820 processes. The appropriate experimental workflows for continuous process design to
821 standardize data acquisition including from batch experiments are also required.

- 822 • Involve all parts of the organization from discovery, research and development and
823 manufacturing in the implementation of CM; the approach must be multidisciplinary and
824 close working of disciplines must be fostered. This has implications for the skills and training
825 needs of all disciplines concerned with the development and implementation of CM. This is
826 particularly true for flow chemistry and the availability of suitable laboratory scale
827 equipment to support training in academic institutions is required. The academic and industry
828 communities should identify the workforce attributes required to support CM and develop
829 appropriate collaborative measures to support at all levels.
- 830 • Promote and encourage publication and dissemination of examples of CM to include detailed
831 supporting data and continue to highlight challenges. This will build confidence in the
832 community, allow engagement with regulatory comment and establish benchmarks for
833 performance and best practice in the sector.
- 834 • Alongside developing the skills and technical capabilities, develop the economic case for CM
835 of API. This is non-trivial, involving various stakeholders at project and business level
836 however establishing the critical economic drivers is critical to driving the transformation in
837 manufacturing.

838

839 **Acknowledgements**

840 We would like to thank our “consultants” who made valuable comments to improve this paper:
841 Dr Philip Dell’Orco, Glaxosmithkline US; Dr Ian Houson, CMAC, Strathclyde University, UK;
842 Dr James Cashman, Eli Lilly, US; Prof Zoltan Nagy, CMAC, Loughborough University,
843 UK/Purdue University US; Prof Tim Jamison, MIT, US and Prof Brian Glennon, UCD, Ireland.
844 In addition, all of those who made helpful comments on-line and at the symposium.

845

846 **References**

- 847 1. Baxendale, I.R., *The integration of flow reactors into synthetic organic chemistry*. Journal of
848 Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 2013. **88**(4): p. 519-552.
- 849 2. Hartman, R.L., J.P. McMullen, and K.F. Jensen, *Deciding Whether To Go with the Flow:
850 Evaluating the Merits of Flow Reactors for Synthesis*. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition,
851 2011. **50**(33): p. 7502-7519.
- 852 3. Hessel, V., et al., *Novel Process Windows for Enabling, Accelerating, and Uplifting Flow
853 Chemistry*. Chemsuschem, 2013. **6**(5): p. 746-789.
- 854 4. Webb, D. and T.F. Jamison, *Continuous flow multi-step organic synthesis*. Chemical Science,
855 2010. **1**(6): p. 675-680.
- 856 5. Yoshida, J.-i., H. Kim, and A. Nagaki, *Green and Sustainable Chemical Synthesis Using Flow
857 Microreactors*. ChemSusChem, 2011. **4**(3): p. 331-340.
- 858 6. Mascia, S., et al., *End-to-End Continuous Manufacturing of Pharmaceuticals: Integrated
859 Synthesis, Purification, and Final Dosage Formation*. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition,
860 2013. **52**(47): p. 12359-12363.
- 861 7. McMullen, J.P. and K.F. Jensen, *Integrated Microreactors for Reaction Automation: New
862 Approaches to Reaction Development*. Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry, 2010. **3**: p. 19-42.
- 863 8. McMullen, J.P. and K.F. Jensen, *Rapid Determination of Reaction Kinetics with an Automated
864 Microfluidic System*. Organic Process Research & Development, 2011. **15**(2): p. 398-407.
- 865 9. Mesbah, A., Ford Versypt, A.N., Zhu, X., and R.D. Braatz, *Nonlinear Model-Based Control of Thin-
866 Film Drying for Continuous Pharmaceutical Manufacturing*. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2014. **53**(18), p.
867 7447-7460.
- 868