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There is a growing interest in realizing the benefits of continuous processing in biologics manufacturing, which is reflected by the significant number of industrial and academic researchers who are actively involved in the development of continuous bioprocessing systems. These efforts are further encouraged by guidance expressed in recent FDA conference presentations. The advantages of continuous manufacturing include steady state operation, consistent product quality, reduced equipment size, high volumetric productivity, streamlined process flow, low cycle times and reduced capital cost. This new technology, however, poses challenges of various nature, which need to be addressed before large scale implementation is considered. The present document reviews the opportunities, technical needs and strategic directions for continuous bioprocessing. The discussion is supported by several examples from the recent literature illustrating various forms of continuous bioprocessing systems.
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1. Introduction

Process intensification through conversion from batch to continuous manufacturing has been applied effectively in industries such as steel casting\(^1\), petrochemical, chemical, food, and pharmaceuticals with great effectiveness.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\) Despite vast differences between the product types, the advantages of continuous over batch manufacturing are consistent and include steady state operation, reduced equipment size, high volumetric productivity, streamlined process flow, low cycle times, and reduced capital cost.\(^7\) An example of industrial importance in the therapeutic field is the ongoing project at the Novartis - MIT Center for Continuous Manufacturing that targets a holistic redesign of the pharmaceutical manufacturing process to achieve fully integrated end-to-end continuous flow.\(^8\)

There is a growing interest in realizing the benefits of continuous processing in biologics manufacturing. To this end, a significant number of industrial and academic researchers are actively involved in the development of continuous processing systems.\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^10\) The results reported so far point to the transformative potential of this technology on the manufacturing if biological drugs. The development efforts are further encouraged by guidance expressed in recent FDA conference presentations.\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\)

Continuous bioprocessing technology as a paradigm shift in biologics manufacture will benefit from standardizing on common terminology, as well as from the alignment of expectations and goals. An objective of this document is to propose such a common understanding and to define strategic directions for further development that will address the current challenges and technological gaps. While the concept of fully continuous bioprocessing will likely face some skepticism, it is important to consider the lengthy but highly successful evolutionary path of the continuous manufacturing in other industries\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^7\), which is seen today as a "disruptive technology" that moved these businesses to a new level.\(^13\)\(^,\)\(^14\)
2. Definitions

As with other industries, the classification of a biomanufacturing system as continuous depends on the nature of the involved unit operations and their integration. Therefore, it is appropriate to first provide a working definition of the term “continuous unit operation”.

A unit operation is continuous if it is capable of processing a continuous flow input for prolonged periods of time. Furthermore, a continuous unit operation has minimal internal hold volume. The output can be continuous or discretized in small packets produced in a cyclic manner.

A process is continuous if it is composed of integrated (physically connected) continuous unit operations with zero or minimal hold volume in between. To emphasize that all the unit operations are continuous and integrated, such processes are also referred to as fully continuous or end-to-end continuous. A process is hybrid if it is composed of both batch and continuous unit operations.

Steady state is an operational regime of the continuous process when input and output flow rates, as well as all critical process variables (including CPPs and CQAs), remain within narrow limits over a prolonged period of time.

Continuous processing is a rich technical field that encompasses various concepts, such as flow, systems approach, integration and model-based control. For further discussion of these and other related topics see Badman & Trout (2014).15

3. Challenges of current biomanufacturing technology and the opportunities offered by continuous processing

The overarching business drivers for accelerated development times and cost control under stringent quality/regulatory requirements continue to dominate the biotechnology industry. At present, biopharma companies often need to flexibly accommodate large-, mid- and small-volume drugs (e.g., niche or orphan drugs), preferably within the same manufacturing facilities. The same is true for therapeutic proteins of different natures, e.g. stable proteins, such as antibodies, and highly complex (less stable) proteins, such as recombinant enzymes or blood-factors. Furthermore, the ability to rapidly adjust production capacity to accommodate fluctuating and/or mis-forecasted market demands is also needed. Mounting pressure to reduce cost is also impacted by growing competition from biosimilar products and by government regulations. As a result, concerns about the long term viability and reproducibility of the traditional batch manufacturing facility model (10-20kL batch bioreactors and downsteam trains involving chromatographic columns of significant size) have been repeatedly raised. Below, we enumerate the main challenges of current batch or hybrid biomanufacturing systems, and review the opportunities offered by integrated continuous processing to address these issues.

3.1. Cost

High capital investment costs are associated with traditional stainless steel fed-batch facilities due to larger equipment size and lower equipment utilization rates. Continuous processing offers significant opportunity to lower capital costs through radical reduction of facility and equipment footprints (small bioreactors and chromatography columns; elimination of intermediate hold tanks and non-value-added unit operations; high volumetric productivity; high equipment utilization rate; disposable technology). These small footprint facilities can be constructed and commissioned more expeditiously than traditional large-scale facilities. Additional savings in operating cost are also expected from the improved resin capacity utilization and accompanying decreases in buffer volumes that are offered by the continuous chromatography systems. The smaller, functionally closed process will require lower environmental class and therefore drive down capital and QC
environmental testing costs. Other cost savings will occur through an increase in automation and reduction in operator labor.

3.2. Flexibility

The growing diversity of the product pipelines within the industry (including large-volume and orphan drugs, mAb and non-mAb products) and associated market complexity create an unprecedented need for production flexibility. In this respect, continuous processing offers a significant advantage as it allows rapid capacity adjustments through "numbering up" (addition/removal of parallel production lines) when compared to traditional volumetric scale up. Another linear scaling factor in continuous processing is time – the duration of the process can be modulated based on product demand. In combination, these factors allow the utilization of a single bioreactor scale of a few hundred liters for the production of small-volume drugs (<10kg/year) and large-volume drugs (>100kg/year). Furthermore, the small equipment footprint and the universality of the platform allows high process mobility and portability, either within the same facility or between different manufacturing sites, which can be strategically distributed to serve local markets.

3.3. Standardization

The diversity of biological products has resulted in the development of a variety of production systems with limited standardization. For example, relatively stable proteins (such as a mAb) are typically produced in fed-batch systems, while less stable molecules (such as blood factors or enzymes) are produced in hybrid systems (perfusion upstream and batch downstream). There is also significant diversity in production systems even within the same technological category, which complicates knowledge management, technology transfer, speed of development, and the ability to capture incremental process improvement. Continuous bioprocessing offers the opportunity to implement standardization, i.e. all biological drugs are manufactured in the same system. Multiproduct facilities then can be designed using a standard continuous platform. Furthermore, due to small equipment size, full process standardization can now be realized across process development, clinical production, and commercial manufacturing, as identical equipment and control systems can be used in all these areas. Standardization would also facilitate compliance with local government regulations in emerging markets, as small, predesigned facilities can be rapidly, and inexpensively, built in such regions in a decentralized operating model.

3.4. Speed of scaling

Historically, scale-up and transfer to manufacturing has been a time consuming activity, often associated with the identification of various technical challenges. The utilization of a standard production platform for protein drugs would virtually eliminate technology transfer activities. This is achieved by the equivalency of scale and equipment for pilot, clinical, and commercial production. Training and validation would also be faster, as the systems will be well understood and characterized, and proper models can be built and common validation protocols can be used. The elimination of non-value unit operations and intermediate hold steps will also have a positive impact on time-to-market.

The physical connection and prolonged uptime of all continuous unit operations during scale-up and validation enable the collection of substantial amounts of data offering an unprecedented opportunity to learn about the bioprocess as an integrated system. Over time, the generation of such deep knowledge will enable faster process development and system deployment. One needs to assure steady state operation and consistency of performance across time, along with an understanding of the minimum scale that make continuous bioprocessing attractive. Production over varying periods of time has to be validated as well to provide additional operational flexibility.

3.5. Quality
Due to extended bioreactor residence time, fed-batch technology is only used for the manufacture of stable proteins. If produced in this mode, labile proteins would either degrade or lose some of their quality attributes. Continuous technology allows a significant decrease of the molecule residence time in the bioreactor, which enables the production of any kind of protein with uncompromised quality. It is worth noting that there may be quality benefits even with the production of stable proteins (mAbs), as glycosylation profiling changes (less modified and more consistent glycoforms profile) have been reported in batch systems, most likely due to post secretion enzymatic modification or degradation\textsuperscript{16,17,18}. Modifications, such as deamidation, that are associated with prolonged molecule exposure to bioreactor pH and temperature conditions can also be minimized with continuous cultivation. The elimination of intermediate hold steps further reduces the risk of product degradation and eliminates the need of long-term stability studies. Another advantage is that continuous bioreactors typically operate at high cell densities and viability, which in turn results in higher productivity, lower impurity levels in the harvest, and potentially simplified purification schemes. The operation of a process at an optimal steady state facilitates the application of QbD principles. The high degree of automation in continuous processing further increases operational consistency as it minimizes manual operations and subjective decision-making. The upstream and downstream closed system operation (needed for long-term continuous runs) would also reduce bioburden risk, which is significant in existing downstream facilities.

4. Technology needs

4.1. Different requirements for upstream and downstream

Continuous perfusion bioreactors have been employed for decades for the commercial manufacture of biologics. In these “hybrid” systems, the bioreactor is equipped with a cell retention device and the bioreactor is the only continuous unit operation. The downstream part of the process consists of multiple batch unit operations. While significant knowledge with continuous bioreactor operation has been accumulated over the years, the experience with continuous downstream operations is limited. Due to the different levels of maturity, technological needs for upstream and downstream development are rather different.

Technology evolution in a continuous upstream system is expected to be incremental within the existing process architecture. The established bioreactor-cell retention device configuration offers significant potential, and will likely remain conceptually the same. Improvements within this framework are, however, necessary in order to reach the full potential of the continuous platform. These include the development of robust and stable cell lines that maintain high productivity over prolonged time periods (e.g., 2-3 months), the design of media formulations for high cell density (e.g., capable of supporting >50e6 cells/mL at perfusion rate of 1-2 reactor vol/day), as well as optimization of bioreactor conditions to support the high cell density at high viability and positive growth rate. Various enabling technologies need to be developed and optimized, such as automatic cell density control, oxygenation and ventilation at high cell density, foam control, etc. As bioreactor operation at cell densities above 100e6 cells/mL has already been demonstrated\textsuperscript{19}, achieving these targets at industrial scale within the continuous upstream technological infrastructure is a realistic near term goal.

The current situation with downstream is quite different, as there is limited experience with continuous protein purification, especially at manufacturing scale. Until recently, equipment for continuous protein purification operations was not available. Today, small- and pilot-scale continuous chromatography systems are offered by several equipment manufacturers (Novasep, Pompey, France; Tarpon, Worcester, MA; Semba, Madison, WI; GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ; Knauer, Berlin, Germany; ChromaCon, Zurich, Switzerland). As these systems are new, their adoption by the biotechnology community as routine tools will not be immediate. Significant experience needs to be accumulated in process scale up prior to adoption at full scale. Most unit operations employ multicoloumn technology utilizing time- or UV-based switching logic that enables
the system to automatically process continuous flow. Other, less mature technologies may also be considered, such as annular chromatography\textsuperscript{20} or Continuous Countercurrent Tangential Chromatography.\textsuperscript{21} In addition to the chromatography steps, novel downstream unit operations are needed, such as continuous viral inactivation/removal and UF/DF, among others. An important design requirement for all continuous downstream unit operations is their ability to operate over prolonged periods under stringent bioburden control conditions.

A major lesson from the development of continuous processes in pharmaceuticals and other industries is that one does not convert a batch process to continuous by simply connecting together existing batch equipment, but rather by designing new fit-for-purpose unit operations and processes. This places a strong dependence on the technology suppliers. There must be broad support by the technology vendors, who have to develop the equipment suitable for commercial continuous processing.

4.2. Standardization of downstream process architecture

Additional challenges emerge with standardization of the downstream process architecture. While the purification of mAbs is relatively well defined, e.g. with protein-A capture, this is not the case with non-mAb molecules. The batch purification trains vary significantly in number and type of chromatography columns, viral inactivation methods, deployment of membrane steps and final formulation. An important step towards the development of a universal downstream architecture is the rational design of highly specific ligands for the capture step (similar to Protein A in mAb purification). The availability of such robust and specific ligands will help standardize the remaining downstream unit operations, ideally utilizing no more than three chromatographic steps.

As today’s continuous downstream unit operations are in a relatively early phase of development, one can expect hybrid versions to first be established before fully continuous production systems emerge. In these systems, the continuous portion may include a perfusion bioreactor integrated with a continuous capture step. As experience grows and new enabling technologies are developed, subsequent downstream unit operations can be converted to continuous and integrated into the system.

4.3. Further optimization of cell culture media

The upstream operational expenses are closely related to the amount and cost of the cell culture media. A strategic need is the development of highly nutritional medium formulation capable of supporting very high cell densities (>5066 cells/mL) at low volumetric perfusion rates (1-2 bioreactor vol/day). This decreased media consumptions, paralleled with low media cost per liter, would result in highly competitive upstream operational expenses. An obvious prerequisite in the medium optimization efforts is the maintenance of high cell viability and productivity, and consistent product quality during the entire course of the continuous cultivation.

4.4. Global process control

Unit operations are typically designed as autonomous systems equipped with their own local controls. Such local control is sufficient in batch processing, as the unit operations are disconnected and do not need information from each other in order to function properly. While local control is also essential in continuous processing, the integration of unit operations requires global coordination of the entire process flow. Consequently, continuous systems have to be equipped with a second level software control system that supervises and aligns the work of the individual unit operations. Typical oversight functions are coordination of flow rates, event-based control and exception handling. The software and hardware system should provide a high degree of automation, requiring minimal operator involvement. A potential challenge here is that different vendors often use different control systems, which can make such kind of integration difficult.
4.5. Process Analytical Technology (PAT)

Tightly associated with process automation and control is the need for adequate PAT. Reliable long-term operation of the continuous system can be accomplished if adequate real-time information is available for each unit operation. To a significant extent this has been achieved for the bioreactor operation, as demonstrated by the successful multi-month operation of existing commercial perfusion processes. Physical and physico-chemical parameters are reliably monitored in continuous chromatography systems (e.g., UV absorbance, pH, conductivity, flow rate, pressure, etc.) using technologies that are well established in batch processes. What is missing, however, is sufficient amount of real-time information about product quality attributes (such as, activity, aggregation, glycosylation, impurity level, etc.). Development of PAT that addresses this need will be of tremendous importance. Since this is a task of high complexity, reliable on-line sensor solutions are unlikely to emerge in the near term. A more realistic option is the implementation of approaches based on sterile sampling and at-line rapid analysis using established instrumentation. Such systems can be positioned at several strategic points along the process flow, and can work in “quasi real-time”, supplying control systems with the required product quality information.

5. Issues to be addressed

As it is with any new technology, continuous bioprocessing is not yet mature and requires sustained innovation and guided evolution in terms of methodology and technology. Here, we review several high-impact areas that need particular attention.

5.1. Regulatory roadmap

While there is significant experience with continuous perfusion systems, a partial or complete integration between upstream and downstream has not yet been commercially demonstrated. The impressive successes of continuous flow processing in the pharmaceutical industry have attracted the attention of the regulatory authorities, who are increasingly supportive of this new manufacturing paradigm. FDA has recently provided guidance about the applicability of continuous manufacture of synthetic drugs with highly encouraging conclusions. As the parallels to biotech are obvious, these discussions provide a path to address potential regulatory hurdles for implementation of the novel continuous bioprocessing paradigm.

Most recently, a presentation focusing specifically on continuous biomanufacturing was delivered by the FDA. The key conclusions were in line with previous guidance in the context of small molecule drugs. The main take home messages are that there is “nothing in regulations or guidance prohibiting continuous manufacturing”, and “continuous manufacturing is fully consistent with FDA’s QbD in which envelopes of performance are seen as part of the control strategy.” The regulatory expectations for assurance of reliable and predictive processing in a commercial setting are the same for batch and continuous processing.

5.2. Challenges to adoption

According to Reay (2008), the reasons for the relatively slow penetration of new processing methodologies include, low tolerance of risk, management concerns about implementing new technologies, overinvestment in existing facilities designed for the old principles, the legacy effect of fully depreciated production plants and, not the least, perceived regulatory constraints. While these impediments to change are particularly strong in the field of biopharmaceuticals, where there is a relationship between the process and the product, the evolving competitive business environment is incrementally driving the biotech industry towards a tipping point where existing barriers may be counterbalanced by the need for radically improved bioprocessing. In all cases, the success of the introduction of innovative approaches depends not only on sound technical vision, but also on broad support from the entire organization as a matter of corporate strategy.23,7
Well-founded or not, process related concerns include those regarding start-up and shutdown material losses and costs, long validation times, ability to achieve robust process throughput, fears that equipment cleaning may be more difficult or complicated, and the perception that when any unit operation in continuous processing is down for any reason, the whole process is down.24

Most importantly, the adoption of continuous processing is facing the challenge of the deeply entrenched “batch technology” mindset, which penetrates organizations at all levels. The implementation of a new technology cannot be a bottom-up initiative, and the complete support of top management is essential. To this end, a strategic vision backed by a convincing business case and solid development scale data needs to be prepared with broad consensus; this is particularly important for the early adopters. Careful selection of the product for the first implementation of a new technology is essential, as concerns about extending the time-to-market may play a critical role in technology selection.

5.3. Supply chain considerations

It is known from other industries, such as food and chemicals, where continuous processing has been applied for a long time that there is an increased dependence on quality and consistency of raw material in the supply chain. This is essential in order to minimize variance going into the process. If single-use technologies are incorporated into the process, there is greater dependency on technology vendors. In these cases, extra rigor in quality assurance will be required because it takes on additional importance.

Continuous operation also has the potential to positively influence the downstream side of the supply chain, as there is greater flexibility in meeting variable demands from the market since scaling is by time extension and not by the number of batches. This is further enhanced by the short process cycle time and the opportunity to reduce inventories at hands. Demand may also be met by the mobility of smaller production systems and the opportunity to decentralize production and distribute manufacturing locally closer to markets.

5.4. Impact on business strategy

There is a significant positive impact on the business strategy that is enabled by the increase in flexibility, shortened scale-up and process development time, standardization and reduced capital requirements for an operating plant. The flexibility will permit a more responsive supply chain that is especially important in new and uncertain markets. The lower capital costs may enable smaller companies to integrate manufacturing earlier in their life-cycle and reduce the dependence on CMOs. With smaller plants that can be scaled by time and not volume, the same facility can be used for clinical material manufacturing and product launch (i.e., multi-purpose facilities). Market growth can be accommodated by longer production cycles or installation of identical parallel process trains. With a standard production platform, the same unit may be used for multiple products; which further increases operational flexibility and cost structure.

5.5. Impact on organizational structure

Accommodation of continuous production will likely require changes in organizational structure. Upstream and downstream distinctions will blur as the bioreactor and chromatography steps become merely integrated unit operations of one continuous stream. This will have implications on the development and manufacturing organization that will also need to be integrated across the process. These structural modifications will require a change in the culture of the organization. Operational excellence will require compliance at steady state, with an emphasis on process control. Longitudinal data will be collected and new methods for learning will need to emerge.
6. Examples

The potential for and experience with continuous processing is illustrated with several examples drawn from recent literature on therapeutic protein manufacturing using mammalian cell culture. The four process architectures (Fig. 1-4) are idealized to illustrate each approach and do not try to capture all the technical details. The examples include three hybrid systems and one fully integrated continuous process. All cases demonstrate the advantages of continuous processing, with the fully continuous system offering the strongest potential.

6.1. Hybrid system: Continuous upstream with batch downstream

This process architecture in Fig. 1 is widely used today for commercial manufacturing of complex/labile proteins, such as enzymes, blood factors and, in some cases, mAbs. Over the last 25 years, several companies, including Genzyme, Bayer, Janssen, BioMarin, Shire, and Pfizer, have practiced perfusion culture. The bioreactor residence time of the product is short, usually <24hrs, which enables the production of unstable proteins with minimal degradation. The broth harvest is collected in large tanks, and processed downstream in a traditional batch fashion, starting with clarification for cell removal and a capture step. The utilization of filtration based cell retention devices, such as ATF or TFF, enables integration of bioreactor operation and clarification into a single unit process.²⁵,²⁶,¹⁹

This process configuration allows utilization of small and even mobile bioreactors in the upstream production suite. However, the batch downstream operation still requires large equipment, which minimizes some of the benefit accrued in the continuous upstream design. Nevertheless, perfusion cell culture has been of tremendous use to the biotechnology community because it has enabled the production of unstable proteins, which is difficult or impossible to accomplish with traditional fed-batch technology.

![Continuous upstream (perfusion), batch downstream](image)

6.2. Hybrid system: Batch upstream with continuous downstream

This process architecture has been recently explored at development and pilot scale (Fig. 2). The cells are cultured in traditional fed-batch mode, while one or more of the downstream unit operations are converted into continuous operation. Various continuous unit operations are being developed, such as precipitation,²⁷ flow-through purification,²⁹ directly coupled chromatography columns without hold vessels in between and viral inactivation.³⁰ In most of these cases, the continuity of the downstream operation is defined within the limits of a single upstream batch. After the processing of this batch, the downstream unit operations have to go through the typical cleaning-regeneration-replacement cycle. This mode of operation has been shown to be economically
beneficial. For example, the much smaller volume of continuous chromatography columns results in significant savings when expensive chromatography resins are used (e.g., protein A).

Example 2: Batch upstream, continuous downstream

6.3. Hybrid system: Continuous bioreactor and capture followed by batch (post-capture) downstream

In this model, the continuous processing extends downstream of the bioreactor to include the capture step as shown in Fig. 3. This integration is considered particularly advantageous for two reasons. First is the elimination of large hold tankage (including clarification unit operations) between the bioreactor and the capture step. Second, the implementation of continuous capture results in 1-2 orders of magnitude reduction in column size and lowers buffer utilization, which is particularly important when the capture step employs columns of large diameter. Various versions of Simulated Moving Bed (SMB) multicoloumn systems are being used for the implementation of the continuous capture step. An example of this approach for the production of a recombinant enzyme and mAb is described by Warikoo et al.31 (2012). A 3-column continuous chromatography system was integrated with a high cell density perfusion bioreactor. The system was successfully run for a period of two months, and achieved steady state operation with respect to product quality.

Example 3: Continuous upstream + capture, batch downstream

6.4. Fully integrated continuous process

This process configuration consists of fully integrated continuous unit operations for the entire process train (Fig. 4). Development scale examples of such systems have been presented recently.32,33 The fully integrated continuous process offers impressive advantages, such as small equipment footprint, low residence and cycle times, and low cost. However, not all unit operations required for the implementation of such a system are commercially available at scale today. Additional efforts are required to establish robust viral inactivation or removal systems that operate
continuously at operating scale. The same applies to the UF/DF step at the downstream process. The direct coupling of the individual unit operation requires compatibility of their interfaces, which points to a generic need to focus on interfaces between unit operations. The single use (disposable) technology offers advantages in this respect because of the utilization of weldable plastic tubing of various diameters.

Example 4: Continuous upstream and downstream

7. Recommendations

Continuous processing offers exciting new opportunities for improving the manufacture of biological products. For this technology to be successfully implemented, the joint efforts of industry, academia, regulatory authorities and equipment vendors are needed. Below are a few recommendations that will help to address the existing technical and non-technical challenges:

- Knowledge and learning. The impact of continuous bioprocessing will evolve from the collective action of academic and industrial researchers, via knowledge sharing on systems and their performance as well as ongoing evaluation of innovative ideas. It is recommended that there be a regular forum for bringing together the academic, industrial and regulatory community to review results, propose new technologies, and promote discussion on development and deployment of continuous bioprocessing. Examples of such events are the biannual Integrated Continuous Biomanufacturing Conference (Oct 20-24, 2013, Barcelona) and the International Symposium on Continuous Manufacturing of Pharmaceuticals (May 20-21, 2014; MIT-Cambridge, USA). Workshops between industry and FDA/EMA will also help guide industry during the early phases of development and implementation of this new technology.

- Industry-vendor forums to define the requirements for continuous biomanufacturing unit operation (longevity, closed systems, sterility) and the interfaces between them

- There are several mission critical requirements for production of safe and efficacious biologic products that include viral clearance, in-process testing, supply chain audit, etc. that will be different for batch and continuous bioprocessing. These activities need to be addressed through research in an open forum so that manufacturers and equipment vendors can bring forward the best processes for therapeutic products.

- There is an important role for government in addressing the related issues. Cooperation between FDA and industry to develop a road-map for the implementation of continuous bioprocessing will be highly beneficial. Government funding of related research in academia will accelerate progress in the field. An example is the provision of certain incentives to equipment/instrumentation vendors to develop required continuous unit operations (particularly downstream) and PAT instrumentation.

10
• Industry-academia collaborations in continuous bioprocessing (such as the Novartis-MIT alliance)
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